

# The Rectangular Constant for Two-Dimensional Spaces

MIGUEL DEL RÍO AND CARLOS BENÍTEZ

*Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Santiago,  
Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

*Communicated by Oved Shisha*

Received June 5, 1975

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], J. L. Joly defines the rectangular constant of a real normed linear space  $E$ , of dimension  $\geq 2$ , as the number

$$\mu(E) = \sup_{x \perp y} (\|x\| + \|y\|) / (\|x + y\|),$$

where  $x \perp y$  means that  $x \in E$  is orthogonal to  $y \in E$  in the Birkhoff sense (B-orthogonal) [1, 2], i.e.,  $\|x\| \leq \|x + \lambda y\|, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Joly proves the following properties of  $\mu(E)$ :

For every  $E, 2^{1/2} \leq \mu(E) \leq 3$ .

If  $E$  is a prehilbert space, then  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ .

If  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ , then B-orthogonality is symmetric.

As a consequence of the above fact and of a well-known result of James [3], if  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$  and if  $E$  is of dimension  $\geq 3$ , then  $E$  is prehilbert space.

The purpose of this paper is to solve a problem posed by Joly in his work: "If  $E$  is a real normed linear space of dimension 2 and if  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ , then  $E$  is a prehilbert space."

From now on we shall suppose that  $E$  is a real normed linear space of dimension 2 (i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a norm), with an orientation  $\nu$ , and we shall use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{x \in E: \|x\| = 1\}, B = \{x \in E: \|x\| \leq 1\}, \\ S_1 &= \{p = x + y: x, y \in S, x \perp y, [x, y] = \nu\}, \\ S'_1 &= \{p' = x - y: x, y \in S, x \perp y, [x, y] = \nu\}. \end{aligned}$$

The key result in [4] is that the area of the set  $B_1$ , internal to the curve  $S_1$ , is twice the area of the set  $B$ . To obtain this result, Joly gives a suitable parametrization of  $S_1$ , obtained from the fact that  $S$  is the boundary of a convex body and, therefore, it admits the following two parametrizations:

1. Let  $l$  be the length of  $S$  and  $\xi$  a point of  $S$ . Then, a possible parametrization of  $S$  is given by

$$\lambda \in [0, l) \rightarrow x(\lambda) = (x_1(\lambda), x_2(\lambda)) \in S,$$

where  $x(\lambda)$  is the point of  $S$  whose distance to  $\xi$ , measured over  $S$  with the orientation  $\nu$ , is equal to  $\lambda$ .

2. Given  $\eta \in S$ , the function

$$\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow y(\theta) = (y_1(\theta), y_2(\theta)) \in S,$$

where  $y(\theta)$  is the point of  $S$  whose angle with  $\eta$ , measured with the orientation  $\nu$ , is equal to  $\theta$ , is also a parametrization of  $S$ .

Then, if for a given  $\xi \in S$ , we take  $\eta \in S$  as the first point such that  $\xi$  is  $B$ -orthogonal to  $\eta$  which we meet when  $S$  is described with the orientation  $\nu$ , the following parametrization can be given for  $S_1$ :

$$\sigma = \lambda + \theta \in [0, 2\pi + l) \rightarrow p(\sigma) = x(\lambda) + y(\theta) \in S_1,$$

where  $x(\lambda), y(\theta)$  are such that  $x(\lambda) \perp y(\theta)$ ,  $[x(\lambda), y(\theta)] = \nu$ .

The validity of the above result and the facts that  $S_1$  is rectifiable and that  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$  implies  $S_1 = 2^{1/2}S = S_1'$ , has been discussed in [4].

Finally, Joly proves that  $S_1 = 2^{1/2}S = S_1'$  implies the symmetry of  $B$ -orthogonality.

## 2. PREVIOUS LEMMAS

To obtain a proof of Joly's conjecture we need the following results added to those of [4].

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $B$ -orthogonality of  $E$  is symmetric, the areas of the parallelograms determined by any two vectors  $x, y \in S$ , such that  $x \perp y$ , are constant.*

*Proof.* The area of the parallelogram determined by  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  is  $|x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1|$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that the function

$$A: \sigma \in [0, 2\pi + l) \rightarrow A(\sigma) = x_1(\lambda) y_2(\theta) - x_2(\lambda) y_1(\theta)$$

is constant.

Since the functions ( $i = 1, 2$ )

$$\sigma \in [0, 2\pi + l) \rightarrow x_i(\lambda), \quad \sigma \in [0, 2\pi + l) \rightarrow y_i(\theta)$$

are of bounded variation, we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma'}^{\sigma''} dA &= \int_{\theta'}^{\theta''} \{x_1(\lambda) dy_2(\theta) - x_2(\lambda) dy_1(\theta)\} \\ &\quad + \int_{\lambda'}^{\lambda''} \{y_2(\theta) dx_1(\lambda) - y_1(\theta) dx_2(\lambda)\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\sigma' = \lambda' + \theta'$ ,  $\sigma'' = \lambda'' + \theta''$ , in  $[0, 2\pi + l)$ .

For this purpose, let  $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ , with  $\sigma_i = \lambda_i + \theta_i$ , be any partition of  $[\sigma', \sigma'']$ . Since B-orthogonality of  $E$  is symmetric,  $x(\lambda_i) \perp y(\theta_i)$  and  $y(\theta_i) \perp x(\lambda_i)$ . Hence, there exist  $s_i \in [\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i]$ ,  $t_i \in [\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i]$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(s_i)[y_2(\theta_i) - y_2(\theta_{i-1})] - x_2(s_i)[y_1(\theta_i) - y_1(\theta_{i-1})] &= 0 \\ y_2(t_i)[x_1(\lambda_i) - x_1(\lambda_{i-1})] - y_1(t_i)[x_2(\lambda_i) - x_2(\lambda_{i-1})] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2. *If  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ , then  $x + y \perp x - y$  for every  $x, y \in S$  such that  $x \perp y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$  and let  $x, y \in S$ , such that  $x \perp y$ . The inequality

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x + y + t(x - y)\|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

easily follows from  $\|x + y\| = 2^{1/2}$ , which is a consequence of Joly's result  $S_1 = 2^{1/2}S$ , and from

$$((1 + t) + (1 - t))\|x + y + t(x - y)\| \leq \mu(E) = 2^{1/2}.$$

LEMMA 3. *If  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$  and if  $x', y', x'', y'' \in S$  are such that  $x' \perp y'$ ,  $x'' \perp y''$ ,  $[x', y'] = [x'', y'']$ , then*

$$A(x', x'') = A(y', y''),$$

where  $A(x, y)$ , with  $x, y \in E \setminus \{0\}$ , denotes the area of the set

$$\{ax + by: a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \|ax + by\| \leq 1\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma' = \lambda' + \theta'$ ,  $\sigma'' = \lambda'' + \theta''$ , such that  $x' = x(\lambda')$ ,  $y' = y(\theta')$ ,  $x'' = x(\lambda'')$ ,  $y'' = y(\theta'')$ .

From the fact that  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ , it follows that  $S_1 = 2^{1/2}S = S_1'$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 2A(x' + y', x'' + y'') \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma'}^{\sigma''} \{p_1(\sigma) dp_2(\sigma) - p_2(\sigma) dp_1(\sigma)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda'}^{\lambda''} \{x_1(\lambda) dx_2(\lambda) - x_2(\lambda) dx_1(\lambda)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta'}^{\theta''} \{y_1(\theta) dy_2(\theta) - y_2(\theta) dy_1(\theta)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta'}^{\theta''} \{x_1(\lambda) dy_2(\theta) - x_2(\lambda) dy_1(\theta)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda'}^{\lambda''} \{y_1(\theta) dx_2(\lambda) - y_2(\theta) dx_1(\lambda)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1, the two last addends are null. The two first are, respectively,  $A(x', x'')$ ,  $A(y', y'')$ . Thus we have

$$2A(x' + y', x'' + y'') = A(x', x'') + A(y', y''). \quad (1)$$

Analogously, from the fact that  $x' \perp -y'$ ,  $x'' \perp -y''$ ,  $[x', -y'] = [x'', -y'']$ , it follows that

$$2A(x' - y', x'' - y'') = A(x', x'') + A(-y', -y'') = A(x', x'') + A(y', y''). \quad (2)$$

The above lemma implies  $x' + y' \perp x' - y'$ ,  $x'' + y'' \perp x'' - y''$ . From this and the fact that  $[x' + y', x' - y'] = [x'' + y'', x'' - y'']$ , we obtain

$$2A(x', x'') = A(x' + y', x'' + y'') + A(x' - y', x'' - y''). \quad (3)$$

Finally, the lemma follows from (1), (2), (3).

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**THEOREM.** *If  $\mu(E) = 2^{1/2}$ , then  $E$  is a prehilbert space.*

*Proof.* Since affine transformations preserve B-orthogonality, we can suppose that  $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in S$ ,  $(1, 0) \perp (0, 1)$ .

Let  $x(\theta) = (x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta))$  be the point of  $S$  which forms with  $(1, 0)$  the angle  $\theta$ , measured in the positive sense, and let  $y(\theta) = (y_1(\theta), y_2(\theta))$  be the *unique* (consequence of Lemma 3) point of  $S$  such that  $x(\theta) \perp y(\theta)$ ,  $[x(\theta), y(\theta)] = [(1, 0), (0, 1)]$ .

If we prove that

$$\int_0^\theta x_1 dx_1 + \int_0^\theta y_1 dy_1 = 0, \quad \int_0^\theta x_2 dx_2 + \int_0^\theta y_2 dy_2 = 0$$

for every  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , then

$$x_1^2(\theta) + y_1^2(\theta) = 1, \quad x_2^2(\theta) + y_2^2(\theta) = 1.$$

Combining these equalities with (Lemma 1)

$$x_1(\theta) y_2(\theta) - x_2(\theta) y_1(\theta) = 1,$$

we find

$$[x_1(\theta) - y_2(\theta)]^2 + [x_2(\theta) + y_1(\theta)]^2 = 0,$$

from which it follows that  $S$  is the circle  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$ .

To prove that

$$\int_0^\theta x_k dx_k + \int_0^\theta y_k dy_k = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

let

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \{x_k(t_i)[x_k(\theta_i) - x_k(\theta_{i-1})] + y_k(s_i)[y_k(\theta_i) - y_k(\theta_{i-1})]\}$$

be a sum of Riemann sums for such integrals. If we choose  $s_i, t_i \in [\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i]$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1}) &= \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| y(s_i), \\ y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1}) &= -\|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\| x(t_i), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

the absolute value of the above sum of Riemann sums is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\| - \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| \right| \\ &\quad \times \frac{|x_k(\theta_i) - x_k(\theta_{i-1})| |y_k(\theta_i) - y_k(\theta_{i-1})|}{\|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| \|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\|} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\| - \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

since  $S$  is in the square with vertices  $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$ , and thus  $\|(a, b)\| \geq \max\{a, b\}$ .

Consequently, it remains to prove that the last sum is as small as we wish if we choose the partition  $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  of  $[0, \theta]$  sufficiently fine.

Lemma 3 tells us that

$$\int_{\theta'}^{\theta''} \{x_1 dx_2 - x_2 dx_1\} = \int_{\theta'}^{\theta''} \{y_1 dy_2 - y_2 dy_1\}$$

for every  $\theta'$  and  $\theta''$ . Let  $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  be a partition of  $[\theta', \theta'']$  and let

$s_i, t_i \in [\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i]$  be as in (4). The corresponding Riemann sum of the first integral is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \{x_1(s_i)[x_2(\theta_i) - x_2(\theta_{i-1})] - x_2(s_i)[x_1(\theta_i) - x_1(\theta_{i-1})]\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| [x_1(s_i) y_2(s_i) - x_2(s_i) y_1(s_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, the Riemann sum of the second integral is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\|.$$

Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $[\theta', \theta'']$  such that, for every  $P = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  finer than  $P_\epsilon$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right| < \epsilon, \quad (6)$$

where

$$D_i = \|x(\theta_i) - x(\theta_{i-1})\| - \|y(\theta_i) - y(\theta_{i-1})\|.$$

To show the sum in (5) can be made small, suppose  $P_\epsilon$  is a partition of  $[0, \theta]$  chosen so that, if  $P = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  is finer than  $P_\epsilon$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right| = \left| \sum_{P_+} D_i + \sum_{P_-} D_i \right| < \epsilon,$$

where  $P_+(P_-)$  denotes the set of addends  $D_i$  which are  $\geq 0$  ( $< 0$ ).

By virtue of (6) we can refine  $P_-(P_+)$  so that the sum of  $D_i$ 's relative to it is less than  $\epsilon$ . Consequently,

$$\sum_{P_+} D_i < 2\epsilon, \quad \left( \sum_{P_-} D_i < 2\epsilon \right),$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |D_i| = \sum_{P_+} D_i - \sum_{P_-} D_i < 4\epsilon$$

for every  $P$  finer than  $P_\epsilon$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G. BIRKHOFF, Orthogonality in linear metric spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **1** (1935), 169–172.
2. R. C. JAMES, Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **61** (1947), 265–292.
3. R. C. JAMES, Inner products in normed linear spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1947), 559–566.
4. J. L. JOLY, Caractérisation d'espaces hilbertiens au moyen de la constante rectangle, *J. Approximation Theory* **2** (1969), 301–311.